

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,531	01	1/29/2004	Jianwen Ni	005242.00103	2230
22907	7590	02/25/2005		EXAM	INER
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W				MA'KANT K	
SUITE 1100	DDI IV ()			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20001	1711	-	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			y.		
 		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/766,531	NI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Umakant K. Rajguru	1711		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experior of the provision of th	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
′=	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	—. nis action is non-final.	,		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application	on.			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	:			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	- ,			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in Applicat	ion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bure				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
Attachmen					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	/ (PTO-413) late		
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	8) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

Application/Control Number: 10/766,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 1-58 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague in reciting "a wood composite product" comprising "wood composite product" (lines 1 & 2).

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1711

5. Claims 1-12, 15-18, 22-39, 42, 44-53, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Israel et al (US 4898776) in view of Eek-Vancells (EP 715935) or Luetgert et al (US 6610164).

Israel describes or synthetic board prepared by contacting a lignocellulosic material with a binder (abstract). Wood particles are suitable as a lignocellulosic material (col. 3, lines 56). Binder includes thermosetting resins (col. 10, lines 37-43). A surfactant is added to the binder (col. 2, line 35). Suitable surfactants are a condensate of cetyl alcohol with analogous ethylene oxide (col. 4, lines 59-61), derivatives of tall oil fatty acid (col. 4, lines 57-59), oleic acid (col. 4, line 57) and amines (col. 4, lines 65-67).

Israel does not mention an overlay affixed to the face of the board.

Esk-Vancells discloses a process for covering particle board.

Luetgert also discloses a method of selectively coating a wood composite.

Therefore it would have been obvious from the teachings of Eek-Vancells or Luetgert, to apply a layer of a suitable material to surface/s of the particle board of Israel in order to present an aesthetic and pleasing appearance which resembles that of natural wood.

6. Claims 13, 14, 19, 20, 21, 40, 41 43, 54 & 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Israel et al (US 4898776) in view of Eek-Vancells (EP 715935) or Luetgert et al (US 6610164) as applied to claims 1, 12, 39, 53 (as appropriate) above, and further in view of West et al (US 2003/0040562).

Combination of Israel and Eek-Vancells or Luetgert does not mention triethylamine.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,531 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

West discloses a composition for wood sealer wherein triethyl amine is used

It would hve been obvious to use triethylamine in the composition of particles
board of Israel since triethylamine is known to act as a catalytic solvent for curing and
hardening of polymeric matrices.

7. Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Israel et al (US 4898776) in view of West et al (US 2—3/0040562).

Israel (whose disclosure is presented earlier) does not mention triethylamine which is however taught by West.

It would have therefore been obvious to prepare a composition (from teaching of Israel) comprising thermosetting resin, tall oil fatty acid and add to it triethylamine (taught by West) for solvation, curing and hardening.

8. Claims 27 & 52 contains the trademark/trade name "mylar". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a polyester film and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,531 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U. K. Rajguru whose telephone number is (571) 272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

U. K. Rejguru/af February 9, 2004

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700